American Journal of Sciences and Engineering Research

E-ISSN– 2348–703X, Volume 9, Issue 4, 2026

Empirical Assessment of the Nigerian Gas Transportation Network Code and Investment Performance in Nigeria's Gas Sector

Raymond Odum Oruwari[1], Prof. Adewale Dosunmu[2], Prof. John N. Ugbebor[3]

[1,2,3,] *University of Port Harcourt*

Abstract: *This study investigates how fiscal metering efficiency influences infrastructure performance, revenue retention, and investment outcomes within Nigeria's gas transportation system under the Nigerian Gas Transportation Network Code (NGTNC). Using a decade of operational data (2015–2024) from the Eastern Gas Network, the research evaluates metering accuracy, system imbalance, and financial exposure through regression analysis, uncertainty assessment, and scenario modeling. Results revealed significant metering discrepancies, with error rates ranging from 2.8% to 11.6%, largely attributable to obsolete metering systems, irregular calibration, and weak enforcement. Econometric estimates show that improved metering accuracy enhances revenue stability and strengthens investor confidence by reducing commercial uncertainty. Scenario modeling indicates that upgrading fiscal metering infrastructure could generate annual recoveries of $312–$447 million, with strong positive net present value and short payback periods. The study underscores fiscal metering as a critical infrastructure and investment pillar essential to effective NGTNC implementation and long-term competitiveness in the gas sector.*

Keywords: *Fiscal metering; Infrastructure investment; Gas transportation; Measurement uncertainty; NGTNC; Nigeria.*

I. Introduction

Nigeria's natural gas sector occupies a central position in the country's industrialization strategy, energy-transition agenda, and long-term economic diversification efforts. With over 200 trillion cubic feet of proven reserves, Nigeria possesses one of the largest natural gas endowments in Africa (Nigerian National Petroleum Company Limited [NNPCL], 2023). Yet despite this abundance, the performance of the gas transportation system remains constrained by infrastructure deficits, operational inefficiencies, and regulatory inconsistencies—challenges widely documented in emerging gas markets (Adewuyi & Aworinde, 2021; International Energy Agency [IEA], 2022).

Among these challenges, fiscal metering infrastructure—responsible for measuring, allocating, and monetizing gas volumes—stands out as a critical but often overlooked determinant of economic performance. Fiscal metering is the backbone of commercial transactions in gas markets because it determines how gas volumes are quantified, how revenues are allocated, and how contractual obligations are enforced (Hauge et al., 2020). Inaccurate metering introduces uncertainty into the value chain, distorts billing and settlement processes, and undermines trust among market participants. Mature gas markets such as the United Kingdom, the Netherlands, and the United States treat fiscal metering as strategic infrastructure governed by strict standards, continuous calibration, and digital monitoring (U.S. Energy Information Administration [EIA], 2023). In contrast, many developing economies—including Nigeria—operate with outdated metering systems,

Received-16-06-2026 Accepted- 25-06-2026

inconsistent calibration routines, and weak regulatory oversight (Oladokun & Akinyemi, 2020).

The Nigerian Gas Transportation Network Code (NGTNC), introduced in 2020, was designed to modernize the gas transportation system by establishing transparent rules for capacity allocation, system balancing, and commercial settlement. The Code represents a major step toward creating a competitive, market-based gas sector. However, its effectiveness depends heavily on the integrity of fiscal metering infrastructure. Without accurate measurement, the mechanisms of the NGTNC—such as nominations, imbalance charges, and cash-out processes—cannot function reliably, creating uncertainty for shippers, operators, and investors (Nigerian Midstream and Downstream Petroleum Regulatory Authority [NMDPRA], 2021).

The Petroleum Industry Act (PIA) 2021 further reinforces the importance of infrastructure reliability by restructuring regulatory institutions, promoting private investment, and emphasizing transparency and accountability. Yet despite these reforms, Nigeria's metering infrastructure continues to exhibit significant performance gaps. Many metering stations rely on aging differential-pressure meters, manual data-recording processes, and irregular calibration cycles—conditions known to increase measurement drift and commercial exposure (Jami & Raghunathan, 2021; Li et al., 2022).

This study addresses this gap by conducting a comprehensive, infrastructure-focused econometric assessment of fiscal metering performance in Nigeria's gas sector. Using a decade of operational data (2015–2024) from the Eastern Gas Network, the research evaluates how metering infrastructure degradation affects revenue stability, system imbalance, and investment confidence. The analysis integrates regression modeling, vector autoregression (VAR), scenario modeling, and discounted cash-flow (DCF) analysis to quantify the economic and investment implications of metering inefficiency.

The study is motivated by three key considerations. First, Nigeria's gas infrastructure is aging and requires significant capital investment. As infrastructure deteriorates, measurement accuracy declines, increasing commercial risk and reducing operational efficiency—patterns consistent with global evidence on metering degradation (AlMansoori & Elkamel, 2019). Second, investment in Nigeria's gas sector has historically been constrained by regulatory uncertainty, infrastructure bottlenecks, and commercial risk. Investors require reliable measurement systems to assess project viability and forecast revenue flows; metering uncertainty increases perceived risk and raises the cost of capital (IEA, 2022).

Third, Nigeria's industrial gas demand is expanding rapidly, driven by growth in fertilizer production, petrochemicals, manufacturing, and power generation. As demand increases, the economic consequences of metering inefficiency become more pronounced, making accurate measurement essential for fair allocation and system stability (EIA, 2023).

The empirical results of this study reveal significant metering discrepancies, with error rates ranging from 2.8% to 11.6% over the study period. These deviations are strongly correlated with infrastructure degradation, calibration lapses, and inconsistent regulatory enforcement. Scenario modeling indicates that upgrading fiscal metering infrastructure could generate annual recoveries of $312–$447 million, with strong positive net present value and short payback periods—consistent with international assessments of digital metering investments (Jami & Raghunathan, 2021). These findings highlight the economic and investment benefits of modernizing Nigeria's metering infrastructure.

Fiscal metering systems are widely recognized as strategic infrastructure assets in natural gas markets because they serve as the primary interface between physical gas flows and commercial transactions. They determine how gas volumes are measured, allocated, and monetized, making them essential for commercial integrity and regulatory compliance (Arpino et al., 2014). In mature gas markets such as the United Kingdom, the Netherlands, and the United States, fiscal metering is governed by strict technical standards, continuous calibration, and digital monitoring to ensure accuracy and transparency (U.S. Energy Information Administration [EIA], 2023). These systems are treated not merely as operational tools but as foundational infrastructure that underpins market confidence and investment decisions (Hauge et al., 2020). In contrast, fiscal metering infrastructure in developing economies often suffers from underinvestment, aging equipment, and inconsistent maintenance. Studies show that infrastructure degradation leads to measurement drift, increased uncertainty, and revenue leakage (Oladokun & Akinyemi, 2020). This study builds on this understanding by examining how infrastructure degradation in Nigeria's gas sector affects revenue performance and investment confidence, consistent with global findings that link metering reliability to economic efficiency (International Energy Agency [IEA], 2022).

Infrastructure quality is a key determinant of economic performance in energy systems. Gas transportation networks rely on pipelines, compressors, metering stations, and control systems to ensure efficient and reliable delivery. When infrastructure deteriorates, operational efficiency declines, system losses increase, and commercial risk rises (Bhattacharyya, 2019). The literature on infrastructure economics highlights that investment decisions in energy systems are highly sensitive to uncertainty, particularly in environments where regulatory enforcement is weak or inconsistent (Joskow, 1997). Fiscal metering infrastructure intersects technical, regulatory, commercial, and operational risk categories. Poor metering accuracy increases commercial exposure, complicates settlement processes, and discourages private investment—an issue especially relevant in Nigeria's evolving gas market (Iledare, 2022). These insights align with broader evidence that infrastructure reliability is a prerequisite for attracting long-term capital into energy systems (Mutezo & Mulopo, 2022).

Measurement uncertainty is a well-documented challenge in gas transportation systems. It arises from equipment degradation, calibration lapses, flow-profile distortions, and environmental conditions (Brito et al., 2022). Inaccurate measurement contributes to system imbalance—the difference between injected and withdrawn gas volumes—which has operational and financial consequences. Studies in Europe and North America show that system imbalance increases balancing charges, complicates scheduling, and reduces pipeline efficiency (Li et al., 2022). In developing economies, where infrastructure is older and calibration practices are inconsistent, system imbalance tends to be higher and more persistent (AlMansoori & Elkamel, 2019). In Nigeria, system imbalance has been a recurring challenge, particularly in networks with aging metering infrastructure. However, empirical studies quantifying the relationship between metering accuracy and system imbalance remain limited. This study addresses this gap by analyzing how infrastructure degradation contributes to imbalance and revenue exposure.

Effective infrastructure governance requires strong regulatory institutions, clear standards, and consistent enforcement. In mature gas markets, regulators enforce strict metering standards, mandate regular calibration, and require digital data-governance systems to ensure transparency and reduce disputes (Hauge et al., 2020). These measures support market efficiency and enhance investor confidence. In Nigeria, the regulatory landscape has undergone significant restructuring under the Petroleum Industry Act (PIA) 2021, which created the Nigerian Upstream Petroleum Regulatory Commission (NUPRC) and the Nigerian Midstream and Downstream Petroleum Regulatory Authority (NMDPRA). While the reforms aim to improve governance, regulatory fragmentation and capacity constraints remain challenges (Iledare, 2022). The literature on regulatory governance in developing economies highlights weak enforcement of technical standards, limited digitalization of monitoring systems, overlapping institutional mandates, and inconsistent operator compliance as recurring issues (Adewuyi & Awodumi, 2020).

Revenue exposure in gas markets is closely tied to infrastructure performance. Inaccurate metering systems can lead to under-measurement of delivered volumes, over-measurement of injected volumes, incorrect shipper allocations, payment-delaying disputes, and higher balancing charges (Brito et al., 2022). Studies in the Middle East and Asia show that metering inaccuracies can lead to revenue losses of 0.5%–5% of annual throughput, amounting to hundreds of millions of dollars in high-volume systems (AlMansoori & Elkamel, 2019). In Nigeria, anecdotal evidence suggests that revenue leakage due to metering inaccuracies is substantial, but empirical quantification has been limited. This study provides the first infrastructure-focused econometric assessment of revenue exposure in Nigeria's gas sector, aligning with global findings that link metering reliability to financial sustainability (IEA, 2022).

Digital metering technologies—such as ultrasonic and Coriolis meters—offer higher accuracy, lower maintenance needs, real-time diagnostics, automated calibration alerts, and enhanced data integrity compared with traditional differential-pressure meters (Li et al., 2022). The literature shows that digital metering systems reduce measurement uncertainty, improve operational efficiency, and support digital governance (Jami & Raghunathan, 2021). Financial studies indicate that digital metering upgrades often yield positive net present value (NPV) due to reduced losses and improved reliability (EIA, 2023). However, adoption in developing economies is often constrained by high upfront capital costs, limited technical capacity, weak regulatory incentives, and budget constraints among operators (Mutezo & Mulopo, 2022). This study evaluates the financial viability of digital metering upgrades in Nigeria using scenario modeling and discounted cash-flow analysis. Investor confidence is shaped by infrastructure reliability, regulatory stability, and commercial predictability. The literature emphasizes that investors require accurate measurement systems, transparent regulatory frameworks, predictable revenue flows, low operational risk, and strong enforcement mechanisms (Hauge et al., 2020). When infrastructure is unreliable, investors perceive higher risk, resulting in a higher cost of capital, reduced investment appetite, delayed project development, and greater reliance on government guarantees (Mutezo & Mulopo, 2022).

This study introduces an Investment Confidence Index (ICI) to quantify the impact of metering infrastructure performance on investor perceptions in Nigeria's gas sector, consistent with global evidence linking infrastructure reliability to investment outcomes (IEA, 2022).

II. Methodology

2.1 Research Design

This study adopts a **quantitative, longitudinal, and explanatory research design** to evaluate how the performance of fiscal metering infrastructure influences revenue stability, system imbalance, and investment confidence in Nigeria's gas transportation network. The longitudinal approach is appropriate because infrastructure degradation, calibration cycles, and operational variability evolve. The explanatory dimension enables causal inference about the relationship between metering infrastructure quality and economic outcomes.

The research integrates three analytical components: econometric modeling to quantify the relationship between metering infrastructure performance and economic indicators; vector autoregression to capture dynamic interactions among operational and financial variables; and scenario modeling with discounted cash flow analysis to assess the financial viability of metering infrastructure upgrades. This mixed-method approach provides a comprehensive assessment of both the operational and investment implications of metering inefficiency.

2.2 Study Area and Infrastructure Context

The empirical study focuses on the Eastern Gas Network, a key transmission route in Nigeria that supplies industrial users and power plants. A metering station within this network, functioning as a crucial custody-transfer point for measuring gas volumes allocated between the operator and an off-taker, was examined as a case study. This station was chosen due to its significant fiscal exposure, operational complexity, and readily available data.

2.3 Data Sources and Variables

2.3.1 Data Sources

The study uses monthly operational data from July 2015 to December 2024 drawn from the operator's custody-transfer logs, the industrial off-taker's off-take records, pipeline pressure-temperature logs, meter calibration and maintenance reports, compliance documentation, and internal infrastructure condition assessments.

The dataset includes metered inflow and offtake volumes, pressure and temperature readings, calibration intervals, system imbalance values, revenue performance indicators, and Investment Confidence Index scores. Using a census of all available data eliminates sampling bias and enhances internal validity.

2.4 Variable Definitions Dependent Variables

i. **Revenue Stability (REV):** Monthly revenue retained after adjusting for metering deviations and system imbalance.
ii. **Investment Confidence Index (ICI):** A composite index capturing investor perceptions of infrastructure reliability, regulatory stability, and commercial predictability.

Independent Variables

i. **Metering Infrastructure Condition (MIC):** A coded variable representing infrastructure degradation (0 = optimal, 1 = moderate degradation, 2 = severe degradation).
ii. **Metering Error (ME):** Percentage deviation between NGIC-recorded volumes and off-take volumes.
iii. **Calibration Interval (CI):** Number of months since last calibration.
iv. **System Imbalance (SI):** Net difference between injected and withdrawn gas volumes.

Control Variables

The control variables include the gas price index, seasonal demand variation, pipeline pressure fluctuations, and regulatory event dummy variables.

2.5 Econometric Modeling Framework

The study employs **multiple regression** and **VAR modeling** to evaluate the relationships among variables.

2.5.1 Model 1: Revenue Stability Model

$REV_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 MIC_t + \alpha_2 ME_t + \alpha_3 SI_t + \alpha_4 CI_t + \epsilon_t$ ...... (1)

Where:

REV_t= revenue stability
MIC_t= metering infrastructure condition
ME_t= metering error
SI_t= system imbalance
CI_t= calibration interval

This model tests the hypothesis that infrastructure degradation significantly reduces revenue stability.

2.5.2 Model 2: Investment Confidence Model

$ICI_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 MIC_t + \beta_2 ME_t + \beta_3 REV_t + \beta_4 CI_t + u_t$ .... (2)

This model evaluates how infrastructure condition and metering accuracy influence investor confidence.

2.5.3 Vector Autoregression (VAR)

A VAR model captures dynamic interactions among:

2.5.3.1 Metering infrastructure condition
2.5.3.2 Metering error
2.5.3.3 Revenue stability
2.5.3.4 Investment confidence
2.5.3.5 . System imbalance

The general VAR(p) specification is:
$Y_t = A_0 + A_1Y_{t-1} + A_2Y_{t-2} + \cdots + A_pY_{t-p} + e_t$ ……. (3)

Where $Y_t$is a vector of endogenous variables.

The VAR model identifies **lagged effects, shock propagation, and system-wide interactions**.

2.6 Scenario Modeling

Scenario modeling evaluates three infrastructure-investment pathways: a baseline case with aging meters, irregular calibration, increasing measurement drift, and persistent revenue leakage. A moderate-upgrade case with partial digitalization, improved calibration frequency, reduced uncertainty, and moderate revenue recovery. Moreover, a full digital metering case featuring ultrasonic, Coriolis, or orifice meters, with a smart multivariable transmitter and an electronic flow boss. Each scenario produces estimates of annual recoverable revenue, net present value, internal rate of return, and payback period.

2.7 Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) Analysis

DCF analysis quantifies the financial viability of metering-infrastructure upgrades.

$$NPV = \sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{CF_t}{(1+r)^t} - I_0 \text{ …………….. (3)}$$

Where:

i. CF_t= annual cash flow from reduced losses
ii. r= discount rate
iii. I_0= initial investment cost

The DCF model incorporates capital expenditure, operating expenditure, loss-reduction benefits, and residual asset value.

2.8 Diagnostic Tests

To ensure model reliability, the study applies the ADF test for stationarity, the Breusch–Pagan test for heteroskedasticity, the Durbin–Watson test for autocorrelation, the Variance Inflation Factor for multicollinearity, the Jarque–Bera test for normality, and the eigenvalue-modulus test for VAR stability. These diagnostics confirm the robustness of the econometric results.

III. Results

This section presents the empirical findings from the econometric models, descriptive analysis, infrastructure-condition assessment, and scenario simulations. The results are organized into five major components: (1) infrastructure-condition trends and descriptive statistics, (2) regression results for revenue stability, (3) regression results for investment confidence, (4) VAR dynamic interactions, and (5) scenario modeling and financial valuation. Together, these results provide a comprehensive assessment of the infrastructure-investment implications of fiscal metering performance in Nigeria’s gas transportation network.

3.1 Infrastructure Condition and Metering Performance Trends

The descriptive analysis reveals significant deterioration in fiscal metering infrastructure across the 10-year study period. The infrastructure-condition index (MIC), coded from 0 (optimal) to 2 (severe degradation), shows a clear upward trend, indicating progressive deterioration.

3.1.1 Infrastructure Degradation Patterns

Three distinct phases of infrastructure performance were observed:

1. **2015–2017: Stable Performance (MIC ≈ 0.4)** – Meters were within recommended operational lifespan – Calibration was relatively consistent – Measurement drift remained below 4%
2. **2018–2021: Moderate Degradation (MIC ≈ 1.1)** – Aging meters began exhibiting drift – Calibration intervals lengthened – Measurement deviations increased to 6–9%
3. **2022–2024: Severe Degradation (MIC ≈ 1.8)** – Several meters exceeded their design lifespan – Calibration irregularities became more frequent – Measurement deviations peaked at 11.6%

These patterns confirm that infrastructure degradation is a major driver of metering inaccuracy.

3.1.2 Relationship between Infrastructure Condition and Metering Error

A strong positive correlation (r ≈ = 0.72) was observed between MIC and metering error (ME). This indicates that as infrastructure deteriorates, measurement accuracy declines significantly.

3.1.3 System Imbalance Trends

System imbalance (SI) increased in tandem with infrastructure degradation:

3.1.3.1 Early years: 1.5–2.8% imbalance

3.1.3.2 Mid-period: 3.5–5.2% imbalance

3.1.3.3 Later years: 6.8–9.4% imbalance

This confirms that infrastructure degradation contributes directly to operational inefficiency.

3.2 Regression Results: Revenue Stability Model

The first econometric model evaluates how the condition of infrastructure affects revenue stability. The regression results show that infrastructure degradation is a statistically significant predictor of revenue volatility.

3.2.1 Key Coefficients

Variable	Coefficient	Significance	Interpretation
MIC	−0.63	$p < 0.01$	Infrastructure degradation reduces revenue stability
ME	−0.41	$p < 0.01$	Higher metering error reduces revenue
SI	−0.28	$p < 0.05$	System imbalance negatively affects revenue
CI	−0.19	$p < 0.10$	Longer calibration intervals worsen revenue performance

The coefficient for MIC (−0.63) is the strongest in the model, indicating that infrastructure condition is the dominant factor affecting revenue stability.

3.2.2 Model Fit and Diagnostics

- **R^2 = 0.76**, indicating strong explanatory power
- **Durbin-Watson ≈ 2.02**, no autocorrelation
- **VIF < 3**, no multicollinearity
- **Residuals are normally distributed**

These diagnostics confirm the robustness of the model.

3.2.3 Interpretation

The results demonstrate that infrastructure degradation significantly reduces revenue stability. This aligns with global evidence showing that aging metering systems increase measurement uncertainty and revenue exposure.

3.3 Regression Results: Investment Confidence Model

The second econometric model evaluates how the condition of infrastructure influences investor confidence.

3.3.1 Key Coefficients

Variable	Coefficient	Significance	Interpretation
MIC	−0.52	$p < 0.01$	Infrastructure degradation reduces investor confidence
ME	−0.33	$p < 0.05$	Measurement uncertainty increases perceived risk
REV	'+0.39	$p < 0.01$	Stable revenue enhances confidence
CI	−0.21	$p < 0.10$	Longer calibration intervals reduce confidence

The negative coefficient for MIC (−0.52) indicates that investors view infrastructure degradation as a major source of commercial risk.

3.3.2 Model Fit and Diagnostics

- **R^2 = 0.68**, strong explanatory power
- **Residuals are normally distributed**
- **No multicollinearity**

3.3.3 Interpretation

The results confirm that infrastructure reliability is a key determinant of investment confidence. Investors require accurate measurement systems to assess project viability and revenue predictability.

3.4 VAR Model Results: Dynamic Interactions

The VAR model provides insights into the dynamic relationships among infrastructure condition, metering error, revenue stability, and investment confidence.

3.4.1 Impulse Response Functions (IRFs)

The IRFs show that a shock to MIC (infrastructure degradation) produces a persistent negative revenue effect for 6–8 months; a shock to ME increases system imbalance and depresses revenue for up to 5 months; a positive revenue shock boosts investment confidence for up to 9 months; and a shock to CI (longer calibration intervals) raises metering error and reduces revenue. These dynamic effects highlight the interconnected nature of infrastructure and economic variables.

3.4.2 Variance Decomposition

Variance decomposition reveals that:

3.4.2.1 IIMIC explains **48%** of revenue variability after 12 months.

3.4.2.2 ME explains **32%** of system imbalance variability.

3.4.2.3 REV explains **37%** of investment confidence variability.

These results underscore the central role of infrastructure condition in shaping system-wide outcomes.

3.5 Scenario Modeling and Financial Valuation

Scenario modeling assesses the financial viability of upgrading the metering infrastructure.

3.5.1 Baseline Scenario (No Upgrade)

3.5.1.1 Measurement drift increases

3.5.1.2 Annual revenue losses remain high

3.5.1.3 Investment confidence remains low

3.5.2 Moderate Upgrade Scenario

3.5.2.1 Annual recoverable revenue: **$180–$260 million**

3.5.2.2 Payback period: **3–4 years**

3.5.3 Full Digital Metering Scenario

3.5.3.1 Annual recoverable revenue: **$312–$447 million**

3.5.3.2 Payback period: **1.5–2.5 years**

3.5.3.3 IRR exceeds **30%**

3.5.4 DCF Results

Using a discount rate of 10%:

3.5.4.1 **NPV (full upgrade)** = strongly positive

3.5.4.2 **IRR** = exceeds industry benchmarks

3.5.4.3 **Benefit-cost ratio** = > 3.0

These results confirm that metering-infrastructure upgrades are financially viable and economically beneficial.

Summary of Key Findings

3.5.4.3.1 Infrastructure degradation is the strongest predictor of revenue instability.

3.5.4.3.2 Metering error and system imbalance increase as infrastructure deteriorates.

3.5.4.3.3 Investment confidence declines sharply when infrastructure reliability weakens.

3.5.4.3.4 VAR results show that infrastructure shocks propagate through the system.

3.5.4.3.5 Upgrading metering infrastructure yields substantial financial returns.

IV. Discussion

The results of this study provide compelling empirical evidence that fiscal metering infrastructure is a central determinant of economic performance, operational efficiency, and investment attractiveness in Nigeria's gas transportation system. This section synthesizes the findings in relation to existing literature, theoretical frameworks, and the broader policy context of the Nigerian Gas Transportation Network Code (NGTNC) and the Petroleum Industry Act (PIA) 2021. The discussion is organized around five thematic areas: (1) infrastructure degradation and operational performance, (2) revenue exposure and economic implications, (3) regulatory governance and enforcement, (4) investment confidence and market stability, and
(5) strategic implications for gas-sector reform.

4.1 Infrastructure Degradation and Operational Performance

This 10-year empirical analysis demonstrates that infrastructure degradation—captured through the Metering Infrastructure Condition Index (MIC)—is the primary driver of metering inaccuracy, system imbalance, and revenue instability. The strong correlation between MIC and metering error ($r \approx 0.72$) confirms that aging equipment suffers from reduced sensitivity, flow distortions, and unreliable compensation. These findings align with global evidence showing that delayed calibration and aging metering systems significantly increase measurement drift (Jami & Raghunathan, 2021; Li et al., 2022).

Vector Autoregressive (VAR) modeling further reveals that these technical deficiencies act as economic shocks that propagate negatively through revenue and investment outcomes for several months. This dynamic effect is consistent with international studies demonstrating that infrastructure shocks in gas networks have persistent operational and financial consequences (Brito et al., 2022). The results underscore the need for proactive maintenance, timely calibration, and systematic upgrades to prevent cascading failures across the gas-transportation value chain.

4.2 Revenue Exposure and Economic Implications

The study finds that deteriorating metering infrastructure is the strongest driver of revenue instability, with a one-unit decline in MIC reducing revenue stability by 0.63 units. This aligns with global findings that metering inaccuracies can cause 0.5%–5% losses in annual throughput (AlMansoori & Elkamel, 2019). In Nigeria's high-volume gas system, even small deviations translate into substantial financial losses.

Scenario modeling suggests that upgrading metering systems could yield $312–$447 million in annual savings, consistent with international assessments of digital metering's financial benefits (EIA, 2023). The results highlight that unreliable metering infrastructure increases revenue leakage, reduces billing accuracy, fuels commercial disputes, and weakens the financial sustainability of gas-transport operations. These findings reinforce the argument that fiscal metering is not merely a technical asset but a strategic economic infrastructure (Hauge et al., 2020).

4.3 Regulatory Governance and Enforcement

The findings show that persistent infrastructure degradation reflects weak regulatory enforcement, fragmented oversight, and poor compliance monitoring under the NGTNC and PIA 2021. As the document notes, *"with metering responsibilities split between NUPRC and NMDPRA, accountability gaps emerge"* (Document, p. 47). This fragmentation reduces operators' incentives to maintain and calibrate equipment.

The study demonstrates that lax enforcement of calibration intervals contributes to declining revenue stability and lower investment confidence. These results align with broader literature showing that weak regulatory capacity and inconsistent enforcement undermine infrastructure reliability in developing economies (Adewuyi & Awodumi, 2020; Iledare, 2022).

Strengthening regulatory governance—through harmonized oversight, digital monitoring systems, and stricter enforcement of metering standards—is essential for improving infrastructure integrity and ensuring transparent, financially sustainable gas-sector operations.

4.4 Investment Confidence and Market Stability

The results show that infrastructure condition strongly shapes investor confidence, with the MIC coefficient (−0.52) indicating that degradation is perceived as a major commercial risk. This aligns with global evidence that reliable infrastructure underpins contract bankability, creditworthiness, and long-term investment decisions (Mutezo & Mulopo, 2022).

VAR analysis further demonstrates that improvements in revenue stability have a positive, lasting effect on investment confidence. This finding supports the argument that accurate metering enhances predictability, reduces disputes, and strengthens regulatory credibility (IEA, 2022). Scenario modeling reinforces these insights: digital metering upgrades yield strong NPVs and IRRs above 30%, signaling that such investments are financially attractive.

Overall, the findings suggest that improving metering infrastructure is critical for boosting investor confidence and attracting private capital into Nigeria's midstream sector—one of the core objectives of the PIA 2021.

4.5 Strategic Implications for Gas-Sector Reform

The study's findings have significant implications for Nigeria's gas-sector reform agenda. First, the strong link between infrastructure condition and economic performance underscores the need for targeted investments in modern metering technologies. Second, the demonstrated financial viability of digital metering upgrades supports the case for public–private partnerships (PPPs) to accelerate infrastructure modernization. Third, the results highlight the importance of regulatory harmonization and digital governance to ensure transparency and accountability.

These insights align with global best practices in gas market reform, where infrastructure reliability, digitalization, and strong regulatory institutions are essential to achieving competitive, efficient, and investment-friendly markets (EIA, 2023; Hauge et al., 2020).

V. Conclusion

This study provides the first comprehensive, infrastructure-focused econometric assessment of fiscal metering performance in Nigeria's gas transportation network. The findings demonstrate that fiscal metering infrastructure is not merely a technical subsystem but a **strategic economic asset** that directly shapes revenue stability, operational efficiency, and investment confidence. As the document notes, *"infrastructure degradation is the strongest predictor of revenue instability, with metering error and system imbalance increasing as infrastructure deteriorates"* (Document, p. 52). This underscores the central role of metering integrity in the commercial and regulatory functioning of the Nigerian Gas Transportation Network Code (NGTNC).

The empirical results reveal that metering discrepancies—ranging from 2.8% to 11.6%—are strongly correlated with aging equipment, irregular calibration, and weak regulatory enforcement. These findings align with global evidence showing that outdated metering systems significantly increase measurement uncertainty and commercial exposure (Hauge et al., 2020; Li et al., 2022). The study also shows that infrastructure degradation reduces investor confidence, confirming that reliable measurement systems are essential for predictable revenue flows and bankable investments in the gas sector (Mutezo & Mulopo, 2022).

Scenario modeling and discounted cash-flow analysis further demonstrate that upgrading fiscal metering infrastructure—particularly through digital technologies such as ultrasonic and Coriolis meters—offers substantial financial and operational benefits. The full-upgrade scenario yields annual recoverable revenues of **$312–$447 million**, strong positive net present value (NPV), and internal rates of return (IRR) exceeding 30%, consistent with international assessments of digital metering viability (EIA, 2023; Jami & Raghunathan, 2021). These results confirm that modernizing Nigeria's metering infrastructure is both economically justified and strategically necessary.

The study's findings have important policy implications. Strengthening regulatory governance under the PIA 2021, enforcing strict calibration standards, and accelerating digitalization across metering stations are essential for improving system integrity. Public–private partnerships (PPPs) may also provide a viable pathway for financing large-scale metering upgrades, especially in an environment where fiscal constraints limit government spending. Ultimately, enhancing fiscal metering performance is critical for achieving the NGTNC's objectives of transparency, efficiency, and competitiveness.

In conclusion, the study affirms that **fiscal metering is a foundational pillar of Nigeria's gas-sector reform**. Addressing infrastructure degradation, improving measurement accuracy, and strengthening regulatory enforcement will not only reduce revenue leakage but also enhance investor confidence and support long-term sectoral growth. As Nigeria seeks to expand domestic gas utilization and position itself as a regional gas hub, modernizing fiscal metering infrastructure will be indispensable for ensuring commercial integrity, operational reliability, and sustainable investment performance.

VI. Reference

1. Adewuyi, A. O., & Awodumi, O. B. (2020). Energy sector governance and infrastructure performance in developing economies. *Energy Policy, 140*, 111–123.
2. Adewuyi, A. O., & Aworinde, O. B. (2021). Infrastructure quality and energy-sector performance in developing economies. *Energy Policy, 156*, 112–128.
3. AlMansoori, A., & Elkamel, A. (2019). Measurement uncertainty and operational risk in natural gas networks. *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering, 68*, 102–118.
4. Arpino, F., Massarotti, N., & Mauro, A. (2014). Fiscal metering accuracy and uncertainty in natural gas systems. *Measurement, 55*, 372–381.
5. Bhattacharyya, S. C. (2019). *Energy economics: Concepts, issues, markets and governance* (2nd ed.). Springer.
6. Brito, T. L., Silva, R. M., & Costa, A. L. (2022). Econometric analysis of measurement uncertainty in gas transmission networks. *Energy Economics, 109*, 105–118.
7. Hauge, J., Korpås, M., & Farahmand, H. (2020). Metering accuracy and commercial integrity in gas markets. *Energy Systems, 11*(4), 987–1004.
8. International Energy Agency. (2022). *Digitalization and measurement integrity in gas networks*. https://www.iea.org.
9. Iledare, O. (2022). Petroleum Industry Act (PIA) 2021 and regulatory governance in Nigeria's oil and gas sector. *Energy Policy, 165*, 112–129.
10. Jami, M., & Raghunathan, S. (2021). Economic evaluation of digital metering technologies in gas transmission systems. *Energy Economics, 97*, 105–122.
11. Joskow, P. L. (1997). Infrastructure regulation and investment risk. *Journal of Regulatory Economics, 11*(3), 263–280.
12. Li, X., Zhang, Y., & Chen, L. (2022). Reliability assessment of ultrasonic and Coriolis meters in natural gas custody transfer. *Measurement, 190*, 110–125.
13. Mutezo, A., & Mulopo, J. (2022). Investment risk and measurement reliability in African energy markets. *Energy Research & Social Science, 89*, 102–118.

14. Nigerian Midstream and Downstream Petroleum Regulatory Authority. (2021). *NGTNC implementation guidelines*. https://www.nmdpra.gov.ng.

15. Nigerian National Petroleum Company Limited. (2023). *Nigeria's gas reserves and infrastructure outlook*. https://www.nnpcgroup.com.

16. Oladokun, M. G., & Akinyemi, O. (2020). Infrastructure degradation and measurement uncertainty in Nigeria's gas sector. *Journal of Energy in Southern Africa, 31*(4), 12–25.

17. U.S. Energy Information Administration. (2023). *Natural gas measurement and metering technologies*. https://www.eia.gov.